

# Outline of critical points for our symposium

- Systems policies change processes
  - state, centralization/decentralization, VET types)
  - policies (quality frameworks)
  - change (trajectories, formation, implementation)
- Complexity at different dimensions
   ,Dualism' hybridity?
- Levels (central regional local)
- Contextual conditions
  - Motivation, purpose behind quality policy



# Quality policy (QP), framework, practices in Austria

- Quality policy has emerged as a surrogate for structural/systemic reform of the early tracked structure, quite high energy/investment
  - starting at age ten in compulsory education
  - continued at age 15/16 in VET with three tracks (2 schools + apprenticeship lowest)
- QP common denominator across actors/political camps struggling for improvement in the school part, differentiation into three layers
  - achievement standards in compulsory school
  - standardised Maturity-examination at the upper level tracks related to access to higher education
  - Separation of comprehensive improvment oriented quality development system in VET schools (upper track strongly engaged)
- But: very weak quality assurance in enterprise training (apprenticeship)
  - some formal framework conditions given, rather legitimatory than effective
  - additional policies rejected by employers, they demand trust

| Institute for Advanced Studies | Stumpergasse 56 | 1060 Vienna | Tel: +43 1 59991-0 | www.ihs.ac.at | www.equi.at

л

5

### Levels:

#### very different degree of complexity in sectors

- Compulsory school: very high complexity
  - bureaucracy + politicised distributional federalism,
  - de facto five levels not clearly integrated (central-regional-district-municipalityschool)
  - huge system, high number of schools (1000s), teachers, pupils
- VET schools: low complexity
  - mainly two levels, central and school
  - low number of schools (100s), overall segmentation by six occupational sectors
- Apprenceship: medium complexity
  - enterprises main activity level, wide discretion (except labour law), but very high numer of training enterprises (10.000s)
  - social partners main political actors, at different levels, more weight on employers' side (overall: ,buffering change')
  - state regulation formally strong, but enforcement very flexible (except collective bargaining and laboutr law)

Institute for Advanced Studies | Stumpergasse 56 | 1060 Vienna | Tel: +43 1 59991-0 | www.ihs.ac.at | www.equi.at

#### **Quality systems/policies** Compulsory school - achievement standards 4./8.grade - accountability + improvement very complex development system Maturity examination - clear measure: part of examination nationally standardised - accountability (indirect improvement), mandatory VET-quality-improvement system - comprehensive improvement system - core: competence standards and assessment proceudures schools involved in development and opt in Apprenticeship-enterprises accreditation, trainers, examinations competitions, awards, criteria subs. se 56 | 1060 Vienna | Tel: +43 1 59991-0 | www.ihs.ac.at | www.equi.at 6

Quality systems/polic	ies: contextual issues
<ul> <li>Compulsory school         <ul> <li>achievement standards 4./8.grade</li> <li>accountability + improvement</li> <li>very complex development system</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>International achievement tests: source of mistrust         <ul> <li>high cost, medium achievement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Maturity examination         <ul> <li>clear measure: part of examination nationally standardised</li> <li>accountability (indirect improvement), mandatory</li> </ul> </li> <li>VET-quality-improvement system         <ul> <li>comprehensive improvement system</li> <li>comprehensive improvement and core: competence standards and core: core: competence standar</li></ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Clear evidence of marked difference between grading and test results         <ul> <li>evidence of social background effects</li> </ul> </li> <li>System widely trusted, particularly upper level colleges         <ul> <li>problems with high selectivity, ambiguously valued</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul><li>assessment proceudures</li><li>schools involved in development and opt in</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>Apprenticeship-enterprises</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>System widely trusted</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>accreditation, trainers, examinations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>problem with acceptance by / quality of applicants</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>competitions, awards, criteria subs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>neglected issue: variety of quality</li> </ul>

# Some concluding points

- Complexity: classical point by H.Simon (Luhmann) if something becomes too complex, differentiate (draw a distinction), diversity as strength
  - Austrian system differentiated: ,dualism' of school and apprenticeship, levels within school (bureaucracy)
  - Norway: comprehensiveness, amalgamation, hybrid increases complexity
- Levels: in VET schools quality system flexibility created by letting schools opting in through choosing own problems into a quite strongly structured top-down system
  - broadening always possible, however, needs time (basically good achievement and trust necessary
  - under high pressure this is not possible (compulsory school)
  - apprenticship: if trust exists, quality policy could paradoxically destabilise...
- Apprenticeship, collective skills system: question of external intervention in relation to necessity of state regulatory framework
  - if enterprises involvement must be based on their interest, what should external (state) supervision help?

8



